

1 STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284)  
2 United States Attorney

3 THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493)  
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT (CABN 276256)  
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
8 San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
9 Telephone: (415) 436-7200  
10 katherine.lloyd-lovett@usdoj.gov

11 SRIDHAR BABU KAZA (INBN 23454-71)  
12 Trial Attorney,  
13 U.S. Department of Justice, Fraud Section

14 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
15 Washington, DC 20005  
16 Telephone: (202) 531-1481  
17 sridhar.babu.kaza@usdoj.gov

18 Attorneys for United States of America

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20  
21 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
22  
23 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NO. 3:21-71156-MAG  
25 Plaintiff, )  
26 v. ) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE  
27 JULI MAZI, ) HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE  
28 Defendant. ) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND FED. R. CRIM. P. 5.1  
29  
30 \_\_\_\_\_)

31  
32 Defendant Juli Mazi made an initial appearance in this case on July 15, 2021. Defendant Mazi  
33 was released on pretrial services supervision, \$100,000 bond, and release conditions. The Court ordered  
34 that Defendant Mazi's preliminary hearing be held on August 5, 2021, at 10:30 a.m. At the parties'  
35 request, the Court previously continued the preliminary hearing four times and excluded time under the  
36 Speedy Trial Act from August 5, 2021, through December 9, 2021. Defendant Mazi waived the  
37

38 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME  
39 Case No. 3:21-71156-MAG

1 preliminary hearing, and on December 9, 2021, the Court ordered an arraignment to be conducted on  
 2 January 12, 2022, and excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act from December 9, 2021, through  
 3 January 12, 2022. At the parties' request, the Court previously continued the arraignment to and  
 4 excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act from January 12, 2022, through January 28, 2022.

5 The parties have met and conferred and request that this matter be continued from January 28,  
 6 2022, to February 3, 2022. Counsel for Defendant Mazi and counsel for the United States stipulate that  
 7 time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from January 28, 2022, through February 3, 2022.  
 8 Defendant Mazi also waives through February 3, 2022, the time in which the government is required to  
 9 file an information or indictment under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

10 The parties stipulate and agree that the exclusion of time is appropriate under the  
 11 circumstances—including the current pandemic and shelter-in-place orders—in order to give the parties  
 12 an opportunity to negotiate the possibility of a pre-indictment resolution, to give defense counsel time to  
 13 review discovery, which the government represents involves, among other items, thousands of pages of  
 14 patient medical and billing records, reports of investigation, and recordings of recorded conversations,  
 15 and to give the government additional time to return and file an indictment or information. *See* 18  
 16 U.S.C. §§ 3161(b), 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii), and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); *see also* *United States v.*  
 17 *Pete*, 525 F.3d 844, 852-53 (9th Cir. 2008) (“the STA makes no distinction regarding the applicability of  
 18 the exclusions under § 3161(h)(1) to the pre-indictment period (governed by § 3161(b)) and the pretrial  
 19 period (governed by §§ 3161(c) and (e)).”); *United States v. Murray*, 771 F.2d 1324, 1328 (9th Cir.  
 20 1985) (“In cases of relative complexity, with multiple defendants and ongoing investigations such as  
 21 here, it may be quite unreasonable to expect the preparation and return of an indictment within thirty  
 22 days.... In the case at bar, efficiency and economy were definitely served by the sixty day extension.”).

23 The parties further stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by excluding time from  
 24 January 28, 2022, through February 3, 2022, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the  
 25 best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(ii)-  
 26 (iv).

27 //

28 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME  
 Case No. 3:21-71156-MAG

1 SO STIPULATED.  
2  
3

Dated: January 27, 2022

4  
5 STEPHANIE M. HINDS  
6 United States Attorney  
7  
8

/s/  
9 KATHERINE M. LLOYD-LOVETT  
10 Assistant United States Attorney  
11 SRIDHAR BABU KAZA  
12 Trial Attorney  
13  
14

Dated: January 27, 2022

/s/  
15 PHILIP A. SCHNAYERSON  
16 Counsel for Defendant Juli Mazzi  
17  
18

## 19 ORDER

20 Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, the arraignment currently set for January 28, 2022, is  
21 HEREBY CONTINUED to February 3, 2022.

22 Based upon the facts set forth in the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown, the  
23 Court finds that failing to exclude the time from January 28, 2022, through and including February 3,  
24 2022, would unreasonably deny defense counsel and Defendant Mazi the reasonable time necessary for  
25 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).  
26 The Court also finds that excluding the time from January 28, 2022, through and including February 3,  
27 2022, is appropriate in light of the complexity of the case, and the amount of time necessary to return  
28 and file an indictment under the circumstances, including the current pandemic, within the time  
specified by 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii); *see also*  
*United States v. Pete*, 525 F.3d 844, 852-53 (9th Cir. 2008) (“the STA makes no distinction regarding  
the applicability of the exclusions under § 3161(h)(1) to the pre-indictment period (governed by §  
3161(b)) and the pretrial period (governed by §§ 3161(c) and (e)).”); *United States v. Murray*, 771 F.2d  
1324, 1328 (9th Cir. 1985) (“In cases of relative complexity, with multiple defendants and ongoing  
investigations such as here, it may be quite unreasonable to expect the preparation and return of an

1 indictment within thirty days.... In the case at bar, efficiency and economy were definitely served by the  
2 sixty day extension.”).

3 The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time January 28, 2022,  
4 through and including February 3, 2022, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the  
5 best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

6 Therefore, and with the consent of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time from  
7 January 28, 2022, through and including February 3, 2022, shall be excluded from computation under  
8 the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii)-(iv). The Court also orders that the time  
9 in which the government is required to file an information or indictment under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) is  
10 waived with the consent of the Defendant through February 3, 2022.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12  
13 

---

14 Dated: January 27, 2022  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

HON. SALLIE KIM  
United States Magistrate Judge